

**RFP 25-01**  
**Food Service Management Operations**  
**RFP Committee Recommendation**

Committee Member	Whitson's Culinary Group	The Nutrition Group	Metz Culinary Mgt	Sodexo America	Aramark Educational Services	Compass Group USA Chartwells	Southwest Foodservice Excellence
Grant Meyer	Ranking: 6 Points: 0	Ranking: 3 Points: 1	Ranking: 7 Points: 0	Ranking: 5 Points: 0	Ranking: 2 Points: 2	Ranking: 1 Points: 3	Ranking: 4 Points: 0
Julie Perry	Ranking: 1 Points: 3	Ranking: 5 Points: 0	Ranking: 6 Points: 0	Ranking: 7 Points: 0	Ranking: 4 Points: 0	Ranking: 3 Points: 1	Ranking: 2 Points: 2
Natasha Hemenway	Ranking: 5 Points: 0	Ranking: 2 Points: 2	Ranking: 4 Points: 0	Ranking: 7 Points: 0	Ranking: 1 Points: 3	Ranking: 6 Points: 0	Ranking: 3 Points: 1
Dr. Jason Driver	Ranking: 6 Points: 0	Ranking: 4 Points: 0	Ranking: 2 Points: 2	Ranking: 3 Points: 1	Ranking: 1 Points: 3	Ranking: 5 Points: 0	Ranking: 7 Points: 0
Dr. Belinda Small	Ranking: 3 Points: 1	Ranking: 2 Points: 2	Ranking: 7 Points: 0	Ranking: 5 Points: 0	Ranking: 6 Points: 0	Ranking: 1 Points: 3	Ranking: 4 Points: 0
Total Ranking Points:	4 Points	5 Points	2 Points	1 Points	8 Points	7 Points	3 Points

**Ranking Points:**

At the RFP Evaluation Committee Meeting, each member lists the highest ranked firms based on the points received on the committee member's individual score sheet for each firm. The firm ranked as number one receives three (3) points. The firm ranked as number two receives two (2) points. The firm ranked as number three receives one (1) point. The individual ranking points of each of the RFP Evaluation Committee members are added together to produce a total ranking points score for each firm. The firm with the highest total ranking points is ranked number one.

**Committee Recommendation:**

The Evaluation Committee for RFP 25-01 Food Service Management Operations met in public session on April 2, 2025. After receiving presentations and committee discussion the committee members individually evaluated the proposals and then collectively ranked the respondents for contract negotiation purposes. A motion was made to rank Aramark Educational Services, LLC, as the highest ranked respondent and the motion carried by a majority vote. The committee recommendation is to enter into contract negotiations with the highest ranked respondent Aramark Educational Services, LLC.

**Final Rankings (Top 3):**

1. Aramark Educational Services, LLC
2. Compass Group USA, Chartwells Division
3. Nutrition, Inc. dba The Nutrition Group

**Committee Members:**

Grant Meyer – Committee Chair  
Julie Perry  
Natasha Hemenway  
Dr. Jason Driver  
Dr. Belinda Small

“Failure to file a protest within the time prescribed in Florida Statutes 120.57, Florida Statutes, shall constitute a waiver of proceedings under Chapter 120, Florida Statutes.”

POSTED: 04/02/25, 05:00 PM CT