OKALOOSA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT Class Size Reduction - Secondary Reading Initiative

School Year 2005-2006

The "Secondary Reading Initiative" provides funding to implement the School Board directive to provide a comprehensive reading program requiring all non-proficient (FCAT Level 1 and Level 2) middle and high school readers to take a reading course beginning with the 2005-2006 school year. The initial funding is for the specific purpose of providing instructional staff (reading endorsed teachers) and classroom and assessment materials necessary to implement the proposed program.

Quality Assurance has provided detailed information about the Secondary Intensive Reading Program for 2005-2006 to all secondary schools. The detailed information included the following:

- Student Placement and Scheduling Information
- Instructional Information
- Reading Endorsement and Professional Development

Please refer to the Secondary Intensive Reading Program for 2005-2006 manual for more information regarding this specific program.

Funding for the program provides an average of 1 instructional teaching unit per 15 students scoring Level 1 or Level 2 on the FCAT based on the 2004 FCAT data and $\$ 75$ per student for classroom and assessment materials.

Project Number: 6120

## Allocation Method:

Teaching Unit \$ Allocation Estimated Number of Teaching Units Multiplied by FY 2005-2006 Average Teacher Salary (including 1\% Performance Pay)

FY 2005-2006 Average Teacher Salary $=\$ 56,019$
Estimated Number of Teaching Units = (Number of Students in FCAT Reading Level 1 and Level 2 Divided by 75)
Classroom and Assessment Materials \$ Allocation
Number of Students in FCAT Reading Level 1 and Level 2 Multiplied by $\$ 75$

| Example: Meigs Middle School |  | Class Size Reduction - Secondary Reading Initiative |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teaching Unit \$ Allocation |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 FCAT Data Number of Students in Level I and Level 2 | Estimated Students Per Teaching Unit | Estimated Teaching Units | 2005-2006 Average Teacher Salary including $1 \%$ Performance Pay |  | timated hing Unit ocation |
| 145 | 75 | 1.93 | \$ 56,019 | \$ | 108,117 |
| Classroom and Assessment Materials \$ Allocation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Students in Level I and Level 2 | Per Student Classroom and Assessment Materials Allocation | Estimated <br> Classroom and <br> Assessment <br> Materials <br> Allocation |  |  |  |
| 145 | \$75 | \$ 10,875 |  |  |  |
| Total Allocation | Teaching Unit \$ Allocation Classroom and Assessment Materials \$ Allocation |  |  | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 108,117 \\ 10,875 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Total Allocation |  |  |  | 118,992 |

For the initial budget process, the classroom and assessment materials allocation for your school will be placed in the following:

| Project Name | Fund | Function | Object <br> Code | Cost Center | Project <br> Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class Size Reduction - Secondary Reading Initiative | 1010 | 5100 | $\mathbf{0 5 1 0}$ | Your Cost Center | $\mathbf{6 1 2 0}$ |

For budgeting purposes, there is a section on the Salary Menu (MIS 3382) for your school for Class Size Reduction Secondary Reading Initiative - Project 6120. Finance has already entered the estimated number of instructional units allocated to your school.

Upon receipt of the 2005 FCAT data, Finance will recalculate the staff and materials allocation and send the information to all schools.

## OKALOOSA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT <br> Class Size Reduction - Secondary Reading Initiative <br> Summary -Combined Total Allocation

## School Year 2005-2006

Note: The Teaching Unit Allocation and Classroom and Assessment Materials Allocation will be recalculated upon receipt of the 2005 FCAT data.

| School <br> Number | School Name | Estimated Teaching Unit Allocation |  | Estimated Classroom <br> and Assessment <br> Materials Allocation |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | BAKER SCHOOL | \$ | 179,261 | \$ | 18,000 | \$ | 197,261 |
| 82 | MEIGS MIDDLE |  | 108,117 |  | 10,875 |  | 118,992 |
| 92 | RICHBOURG MIDDLE |  | 147,890 |  | 14,850 |  | 162,740 |
| 121 | RUCKEL MIDDLE |  | 77,866 |  | 7,800 |  | 85,666 |
| 201 | LAUREL HILL SCHOOL |  | 58,820 |  | 5,925 |  | 64,745 |
| 211 | NICEVILLE HIGH |  | 329,392 |  | 33,075 |  | 362,467 |
| 271 | PRYOR MIDDLE |  | 145,089 |  | 14,550 |  | 159,639 |
| 581/582 | CHOCTAWHATCHEE HIGH \& NIGHT SCHOOL |  | 375,887 |  | 37,725 |  | 413,612 |
| 601 | CRESTVIEW HIGH |  | 422,943 |  | 42,450 |  | 465,393 |
| 602 | CRESTVIEW VO TECH |  | 43,135 |  | 4,350 |  | 47,485 |
| 641/642 | FT. WALTON BEACH HIGH \& SUCCESS ACADEMY |  | 371,966 |  | 37,350 |  | 409,316 |
| 651 | BRUNER MIDDLE |  | 168,057 |  | 16,875 |  | 184,932 |
| 671 | LEWIS MIDDLE |  | 71,704 |  | 7,200 |  | 78,904 |
| 701 | OATC |  | 37,533 |  | 3,750 |  | 41,283 |
| 761 | DAVIDSON MIDDLE |  | 121,561 |  | 12,225 |  | 133,786 |
| 771 | DESTIN MIDDLE |  | 38,093 |  | 3,825 |  | 41,918 |
|  | TOTAL | \$ | 2,697,314 | \$ | 270,825 | \$ | 2,968,139 |

OKALOOSA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## Class Size Reduction - Secondary Reading Initiative

 Estimated Teaching Unit Allocation1 Teaching Unit per 75 Students in Level 1 and Level 2 FCAT Reading Estimated Average Teacher Salary Including 1\% Performance Pay $=\mathbf{\$ 5 6 , 0 1 9}$

School Year 2005-2006

Note: The Teaching Unit Allocation will be recalculated upon receipt of the 2005 FCAT data.

| School Number | School Name | Number of Students in Gradient 1, 1 - 2, and 2 Per 2004 FCAT Data |  |  | Estimated <br> Students per Teaching Unit | Estimated <br> Teaching Units | Estimated <br> Teaching Unit <br> Allocation = <br> Teaching Units <br> Times \$56,019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Grades 6-8 | Grades 9-12 | Total |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | BAKER SCHOOL | 93 | 147 | 240 | 75 | 3.20 | \$ | 179,261 |
| 82 | MEIGS MIDDLE | 145 | - | 145 | 75 | 1.93 |  | 108,117 |
| 92 | RICHBOURG MIDDLE | 198 | - | 198 | 75 | 2.64 |  | 147,890 |
| 121 | RUCKEL MIDDLE | 104 | - | 104 | 75 | 1.39 |  | 77,866 |
| 201 | LAUREL HILL SCHOOL | 26 | 53 | 79 | 75 | 1.05 |  | 58,820 |
| 211 | NICEVILLE HIGH | - | 441 | 441 | 75 | 5.88 |  | 329,392 |
| 271 | PRYOR MIDDLE | 194 | - | 194 | 75 | 2.59 |  | 145,089 |
| 581/582 | CHOCTAWHATCHEE HIGH \& NIGHT SCHOOL | - | 503 | 503 | 75 | 6.71 |  | 375,887 |
| 601 | CRESTVIEW HIGH | - | 566 | 566 | 75 | 7.55 |  | 422,943 |
| 602 | CRESTVIEW VO TECH | - | 58 | 58 | 75 | 0.77 |  | 43,135 |
| 641/642 | FT. WALTON BEACH HIGH \& SUCCESS ACADEMY | - | 498 | 498 | 75 | 6.64 |  | 371,966 |
| 651 | BRUNER MIDDLE | 225 |  | 225 | 75 | 3.00 |  | 168,057 |
| 671 | LEWIS MIDDLE | 96 |  | 96 | 75 | 1.28 |  | 71,704 |
| 701 | OATC | - | 50 | 50 | 75 | 0.67 |  | 37,533 |
| 761 | DAVIDSON MIDDLE | 163 |  | 163 | 75 | 2.17 |  | 121,561 |
| 771 | DESTIN MIDDLE | 51 |  | 51 | 75 | 0.68 |  | 38,093 |
|  | TOTAL | 1,295 | 2,316 | 3,611 |  | 48.15 | \$ | 2,697,314 |

See FCAT Data on following pages as provided by MIS.

## OKALOOSA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Class Size Reduction - Secondary Reading Initiative Estimated Classroom and Assessment Materials Allocation \$75 per Student in Gradient 1, 1-2, and 2 School Year 2005-2006

Note: The Classroom and Assessment Materials Allocation will be recalculated upon receipt of the 2005 FCAT data.

| School <br> Number | School Name | Number of Students in Gradient 1, 1 - 2, and 2 Per 2004 FCAT Data |  |  | Amount <br> Per <br> Student |  | Estimated Classroom and Assessment Materials Allocation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Grades 6-8 | Grades 9-12 | Total |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | BAKER SCHOOL | 93 | 147 | 240 | \$ | 75 | \$ | 18,000 |
| 82 | MEIGS MIDDLE | 145 | - | 145 | \$ | 75 |  | 10,875 |
| 92 | RICHBOURG MIDDLE | 198 | - | 198 | \$ | 75 |  | 14,850 |
| 121 | RUCKEL MIDDLE | 104 | - | 104 | \$ | 75 |  | 7,800 |
| 201 | LAUREL HILL SCHOOL | 26 | 53 | 79 | \$ | 75 |  | 5,925 |
| 211 | NICEVILLE HIGH | - | 441 | 441 | \$ | 75 |  | 33,075 |
| 271 | PRYOR MIDDLE | 194 | - | 194 | \$ | 75 |  | 14,550 |
| 581/582 | CHOCTAWHATCHEE HIGH \& NIGHT SCHOOL | - | 503 | 503 | \$ | 75 |  | 37,725 |
| 601 | CRESTVIEW HIGH | - | 566 | 566 | \$ | 75 |  | 42,450 |
| 602 | CRESTVIEW VO TECH | - | 58 | 58 | \$ | 75 |  | 4,350 |
| 641/642 | FT. WALTON BEACH HIGH \& SUCCESS ACADEMY | - | 498 | 498 | \$ | 75 |  | 37,350 |
| 651 | BRUNER MIDDLE | 225 |  | 225 | \$ | 75 |  | 16,875 |
| 671 | LEWIS MIDDLE | 96 |  | 96 | \$ | 75 |  | 7,200 |
| 701 | OATC | - | 50 | 50 | \$ | 75 |  | 3,750 |
| 761 | DAVIDSON MIDDLE | 163 |  | 163 | \$ | 75 |  | 12,225 |
| 771 | DESTIN MIDDLE | 51 |  | 51 | \$ | 75 |  | 3,825 |
|  | TOTAL | 1,295 | 2,316 | 3,611 |  |  | \$ | 270,825 |

## Source of Data:

See FCAT Data on following pages as provided by MIS.

## FCAT Cut Scores by Grade Level for Segment Placement/ Transfers at End of Year

Upon completion of FCAT, students scoring as indicated below require the services provided through the various Intensive Reading Courses. Scores below reflect the gross division of students into an appropriate Intensive Reading Course by levels with IR 1 being the highest need student and IR 2+ being lowest. Atypical student performance must be considered when considering student placement. Final placement within a segment will be dictated by additional diagnostic testing and administrator/teacher/counselor recommendation in order to best meet the needs of each individual student. Finally, it is generally agreed that while logistical issues may influence grouping, it is not best practice to place students in a setting that is so broad in performance ranges (i.e. low FCAT Level 1 with FCAT Level 1 students almost at a FCAT Level 2) as to negatively impact instruction and student acceleration in the acquisition of reading skills.

|  | Incoming <br> $\mathbf{6}^{\text {th }}$ | Incoming <br> $7^{\text {th }}$ | Incoming <br> $\mathbf{8}^{\text {th }}$ | Incoming <br> $\mathbf{9}^{\text {th }}$ | Incoming <br> $\mathbf{1 0}^{\text {th }}$ | Incoming <br> $\mathbf{1 1}^{\text {th }}$ | Incoming <br> 12th |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IR 1 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 - 2 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 - 2 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 - 2 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 - 2 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 - 2 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 - 2 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 - 2 3 5}$ |
| IR 1-2 | $\mathbf{2 2 6 - 2 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 6 - 2 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 6 - 2 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 1 - 2 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 1 - 2 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 6 - 2 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 6 - 2 7 0}$ |
| IR 2 | $\mathbf{2 6 1 - 2 8 5}$ | $271-295$ | $271-299$ | $\mathbf{2 8 6 - 3 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 6 - 3 2 1}$ | $271-299$ | $\mathbf{2 7 1 - 2 9 9}$ |
| IR 2+ <br> (11 \& 12 only) |  |  |  |  |  | $300-326$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 - 3 2 6}$ |

The above divisions were decided using the following information:

- Analyzing the actual reading performance data of the 2003-2004 students scoring Level 1 \& Level 2 (See student characteristics, page 46)
- Conferring with literacy coaches
- Conferring with ESE and reading teachers

The intent of these groupings is to provide customized intervention using skillappropriate materials.

Sixth Grade \& Combined Seventh and Eighth Grade Classes

| School | Grade | SSS | \# Students | \# Sections |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baker | 6th | 1 | 4 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 16 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 15 |  |
|  | 6th Total |  | 35 | 4 |
|  | 7th \& 8th | 1 | 4 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 15 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 39 |  |
|  | 7th \& 8th Total |  | 58 | 6 |
| Baker Total |  |  | 93 | 10 |
| Meigs | 6th | 1 | 11 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 16 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 21 |  |
|  | 6th Total |  | 48 | 6 |
|  | 7th \& 8th | 1 | 18 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 28 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 51 |  |
|  | 7th \& 8th Total |  | 97 | 9 |
| Meigs Total |  |  | 145 | 15 |
| Richbourg | 6th | 1 | 18 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 23 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 30 |  |
|  | 6th Total |  | 71 | 6 |
|  | 7th \& 8th | 1 | 23 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 37 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 67 |  |
|  | 7th \& 8th Total |  | 127 | 12 |
| Richbourg Total |  |  | 198 | 18 |
| Ruckel | 6th | 1 | 6 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 14 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 21 |  |
|  | 6th Total |  | 41 | 5 |
|  | 7th \& 8th | 1 | 5 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 19 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 39 |  |
|  | 7th \& 8th Total |  | 63 | 6 |
| Ruckel Total |  |  | 104 | 11 |
| Laurel Hill | 6th | 1 | 2 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 2 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 2 |  |
|  | 6th Total |  | 6 | 3 |
|  | 7th \& 8th |  | 2 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 8 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 10 |  |
|  | 7th \& 8th Total |  | 20 | 3 |
| Laurel Hill Total |  |  | 26 | 6 |
| Pryor | 6th | 1 | 7 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 21 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 39 |  |
|  | 6th Total |  | 67 | 6 |
|  | 7th \& 8th | 1 | 17 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 41 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 69 |  |
|  | 7th \& 8th |  | 127 | 11 |
| Pryor Total |  |  | 194 | 17 |

Sixth and Seventh Grade \& Eighth Grade Classes

| School | Grade | SSS | \# Students | \# Sections |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baker | 6th \& 7th |  | 6 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 24 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 38 |  |
|  | 6th \& 7th | tal | 68 | 6 |
|  | 8th | 1 | 2 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 7 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 16 |  |
|  | 8th Total |  | 25 | 4 |
| Baker Total |  |  | 93 | 10 |
| Meigs | 6th \& 7th | 1 | 20 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 38 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 44 |  |
|  | 6th \& 7th | tal | 102 | 9 |
|  | 8th | 1 | 9 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 6 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 28 |  |
|  | 8th Total |  | 43 | 4 |
| Meigs Total |  |  | 145 | 13 |
| Richbourg | 6th \& 7th | 1 | 32 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 48 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 64 |  |
|  | 6th \& 7th | otal | 144 | 13 |
|  | 8th | 1 | 9 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 12 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 33 |  |
|  | 8th Total |  | 54 | 5 |
| Richbourg Total |  |  | 198 | 18 |
| Ruckel | 6th \& 7th | 1 | 10 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 27 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 39 |  |
|  | 6th \& 7th | otal | 76 | 7 |
|  | 8th | 1 | 1 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 6 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 21 |  |
|  | 8th Total |  | 28 | 4 |
| Ruckel Total |  |  | 104 | 11 |
| Laurel Hill | 6th \& 7th | 1 | 3 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 5 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 6 |  |
|  | 6th \& 7th | tal | 14 | 3 |
|  | 8th | 1 | 1 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 5 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 6 |  |
|  | 8th Total |  | 12 | 3 |
| Laurel Hill Total |  |  | 26 | 6 |
| Pryor | 6th \& 7th | 1 | 16 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 37 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 77 |  |
|  | 6th \& 7th | tal | 130 | 12 |
|  | 8th | 1 | 8 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 25 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 31 |  |
|  | 8th Total |  | 64 | 7 |
| Pryor Total |  |  | 194 | 19 |

Middle School Reading Remediation Population and Sections
(Class Size of 8-12-15)

| Bruner | 6th | 1 | 18 |  | Bruner | 6th \& 7th | 1 | 33 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1/2 | 26 |  |  |  | 1/2 | 49 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 38 |  |  |  | 2 | 82 |  |
|  | 6th Total |  | 82 | 8 |  | 6th \& 7th |  | 164 | 15 |
|  | 7th \& 8th | 1 | 19 |  |  | 8th | 1 | 4 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 37 |  |  |  | 1/2 | 14 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 87 |  |  |  | 2 | 43 |  |
|  | 7th \& 8th |  | 143 | 12 |  | 8th Total |  | 61 | 6 |
| Bruner To |  |  | 225 | 20 | Bruner T |  |  | 225 | 21 |
| Lewis | 6th | 1 | 3 |  | Lewis | 6th \& 7th |  | 6 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 7 |  |  |  | 1/2 | 17 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 22 |  |  |  | 2 | 41 |  |
|  | 6th Total |  | 32 | 4 |  | 6th \& 7th |  | 64 | 6 |
|  | 7th \& 8th | 1 | 5 |  |  | 8th | 1 | 2 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 16 |  |  |  | 1/2 | 6 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 43 |  |  |  | 2 | 24 |  |
|  | 7th \& 8th |  | 64 | 6 |  | 8th Total |  | 32 | 4 |
| Lewis Tot |  |  | 96 | 10 | Lewis To |  |  | 96 | 10 |
| Davidson | 6th | 1 | 7 |  | Davidson | 6th \& 7th | 1 | 12 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 16 |  |  |  | 1/2 | 27 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 25 |  |  |  | 2 | 73 |  |
|  | 6th Total |  | 48 | 5 |  | 6th \& 7th |  | 112 | 10 |
|  | 7th \& 8th | 1 | 8 |  |  | 8th | 1 | 3 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 18 |  |  |  | 1/2 | 7 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 89 |  |  |  | 2 | 41 |  |
|  | 7th \& 8th |  | 115 | 9 |  | 8th Total |  | 51 | 5 |
| Davidson | tal |  | 163 | 14 | Davidson |  |  | 163 | 15 |
| Destin | 6th |  | 1 |  | Destin | 6th \& 7th |  | 3 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 4 |  |  |  | 1/2 | 12 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 15 |  |  |  | 2 | 24 |  |
|  | 6th Total |  | 20 | 3 |  | 6th \& 7th |  | 39 | 4 |
|  | 7th \& 8th | 1 | 3 |  |  | 8th | 1 | 1 |  |
|  |  | 1/2 | 11 |  |  |  | 1/2 | 3 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 17 |  |  |  | 2 | 8 |  |
|  | 7th \& 8th |  | 31 | 4 |  | 8th Total |  | 12 | 3 |
| Destin To |  |  | 51 | 7 | Destin To |  |  | 51 | 7 |
| District Total |  |  | 1295 | 128 | District Total |  |  | 1295 | 130 |

High School Reading Remediation Population and Sections

| School | Grade | SSS <br> Level | \# Students | \# Sections |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baker | 9th \& 10th | 1 | 6 39 60 |  |
|  | 9th \& 10th Total |  | 105 | 8 |
|  | 11th \& 12th | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 1 / 2 \\ 2 \\ 2+ \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1 13 28 29 |  |
|  | 11th \& 12th Total |  | 71 | 6 |
| Baker Total |  |  | 176 | 14 |
| Laurel Hill | 9th \& 10th | 1 $1 / 2$ 2 | 2 210 |  |
|  | 9th \& 10th Total |  | 38 | 4 |
|  | 11th \& 12th | $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 1 / 2 \\ 2 \\ 2+ \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2 4 9 16 |  |
|  | 11th \& 12th Total |  | 31 | 4 |
| Laurel Hill Total |  |  | 69 | 8 |
| Niceville | 9th \& 10th | 1 $1 / 2$ 2 | 30 84 245 |  |
|  | 9th \& 10th Total |  | 359 | 23 |
|  | 11th \& 12th | $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 1 / 2 \\ 2 \\ 2+ \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 4 14 64 180 |  |
|  | 11th \& 12th Total |  | 262 | 16 |
| Niceville Total |  |  | 621 | 39 |
| CHOCTAW | 9th \& 10th | 1 $1 / 2$ 2 | 53 |  |
|  | 9th \& 10th Total |  | 389 | 26 |
|  | 11th \& 12th | $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 1 / 2 \\ 2 \\ 2+ \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}11 \\ 25 \\ 55 \\ 156 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |
|  | 11th \& 12th T |  | 247 | 16 |
| CHOCTAW Total |  |  | 636 | 42 |
| Crestview | 9th \& 10th | 1 $1 / 2$ 2 | $\begin{array}{r}48 \\ 135 \\ 270 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |
|  | 9th \& 10th Total |  | 453 | 28 |
|  | 11th \& 12th | $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 1 / 2 \\ 2 \\ 2+ \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}12 \\ 36 \\ 65 \\ 129 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |
|  | 11th \& 12th T |  | 242 | 16 |
| Crestview Total |  |  | 695 | 44 |



